ATMOHEAD WORKSHOP, 2013

Determining Atmospheric Aerosol Content With An Infra-red Radiometer

M K DANIEL[1,2], Y T E LO[1], P M CHADWICK[1]

[1] *Dept of Physics, University of Durham, Durham, DH1 3LE. UK.*
[2] *now at: Dept. of Physics, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, L69 7ZE. UK.*

Michael.Daniel@liverpool.ac.uk

Abstract: The attenuation of atmospheric Cherenkov photons is dominated by two processes: Rayleigh scattering from the molecular component and Mie scattering from the aerosol component. Aerosols are expected to contribute up to 30 Wm^{-2} to the emission profile of the atmosphere, equivalent to a difference of ~ 20°C to the clear sky brightness temperature under normal conditions. Here we investigate the aerosol contribution of the measured sky brightness temperature at the H.E.S.S. site; compare it to effective changes in the telescope trigger rates; and discuss how it can be used to provide an assessment of sky clarity that is unambiguously free of telescope systematics.

Keywords: monitoring, calibration, LIDAR, aerosols, gamma rays, cosmic rays

arXiv:1402.4739v2 [astro-ph.IM] 31 Jul 2014

1 Introduction

The atmosphere is the most important part of the detector in ground-based gamma-ray astronomy, but it is also the part that has the greatest systematic uncertainty and over which we have the least control. It falls upon us to instead monitor and characterise the atmospheric conditions at the time of observations so that we can either feed this information into Monte Carlo simulations or reject data when conditions go out of acceptable parameters.

Cherenkov light is generated in the upper atmosphere and will only reach a ground based Cherenkov telescope if not attenuated through the process of Rayleigh scattering on the molecular component of the atmosphere, or Mie scattering on the aerosol component (variously dust, silicates, pollens, smoke, etc). The molecular component of the atmosphere tends to change relatively slowly, through seasonal variations; whereas the aerosol component can change much more rapidly, depending on wind conditions for example. It becomes vitally important to characterise this aerosol component of the atmosphere through regular monitoring taken concurrently with telescope observations. A lidar is generally used to measure the atmospheric transmission (eg [4]) from backscattered laser light. At the H.E.S.S. site a lidar centred at 355 and 532 nm has been running in conjunction with observations since mid-2011 [5]. Whilst lidars are excellent instruments for determining the presence of aerosols they are not without complications. Firstly a lidar, due to geometric viewing considerations, only becomes effective above a minimum altitude, whereas the aerosol concentration will always be greatest close to the ground. Secondly, in order to obtain a transmission profile relevant to the Cherenkov spectrum the laser wavelengths are close to the peak in the emission, this means the H.E.S.S. lidar is operated only between observing runs to avoid any light contamination to the telescope images. In this paper we look at utilising another piece of the H.E.S.S. atmospheric monitoring equipment, the all sky scanning infrared radiometer, to fill in some of this missing information.

The radiometer measures an equivalent brightness temperature for the atmosphere and correlates this with the atmospheric clarity conditions. The atmosphere is split into regions according to its temperature behaviour. The troposphere is the lowest, most dense, part of the atmosphere – where most of the weather happens – and is characterised by a linear decline in temperature with increasing altitude and vertical mixing. The molecular density profile falls off exponentially, with a scale height of a ∼few km; the vertical air motion in this region also mixes in the larger aerosols which have a smaller scale height of order a ∼km. The molecular component is an inefficient black-body radiator in the 8-14μm region of the spectrum, water vapour and aerosols are slightly more efficient and clouds are very efficient. This makes an infra-red radiometer an effective cloud monitor (and this is the primary function of this instrument), with clouds showing up as a large brightness temperature compared to a relatively "cold" sky [1]. H.E.S.S. employ Heitronics KT19.82 radiometers with a Germanium lens of 2° field of view to monitor for the presence of clouds, with each telescope having a paraxially mounted unit and a further independent unit continuosly scanning the whole sky.

The infra-red luminosity of the sky (L_{sky}) is actually a collective sum of the emission of a number of different constituent parts

$$L_{\mathrm{sky}} = \varepsilon_l \sigma T_{\mathrm{lens}}^4 + \varepsilon_{wv} \sigma \int T_{wv}^4 + \varepsilon_a \sigma \int T_a^4 + \varepsilon_m \sigma \int T_m^4 + \ldots$$

where ε denotes the emissivity of the lens (l), the water vapour (wv), the aerosols a, and the molecular (m) profiles of the atmosphere, respectively and $\int T$ is the relevant integrated temperature profile in the line of sight. As the lower altitudes are also the warmest these will contribute the most to the observed infrared radiation budget, this is also the region that will be most affected by the varying aerosol concentration. According to [2] the aerosol component can contribute up to 30 Wm^{-2} to the bolometric luminosity of a clear sky[1]. This leads to the prospect of changing aerosol conditions leading to a noticeable change in the sky brightness temperature ($L_{\mathrm{sky}} = \sigma T_{\mathrm{sky}}^4$) measurements.

1. which can mean the difference between a brightness temperature of -56°C or -70°C in the presence or absence of aerosols respectively



Figure 1: Scanning radiometer sky brightness temperature measurements as a function of zenith angle. The top plots are for a clear night with no discernable aerosol boundary layer; the bottom for a night with a boundary layer up to ~ 3.5 km. The left plots show the all sky temperature values as a function of zenith and azimuth angle, the right plots are histograms of the accumulated luminosity values as a function of zenith angle.



Figure 2: The telescope trigger rate and sky brightness temperature as a function of zenith angle for a "clear sky".

2 Data and Observations

The infrared radiometer readings were compared to the observed telescope trigger rates for each night of observations in the period July 2011 to July 2012.

- all four telescopes are functional;
- there are no obvious clouds;
- there are at least 7 runs with $> 35^\circ$ spread in zenith angles in a night;
- full 28 minute observing runs, so that there is no hardware or rate variations due to sun/moon rise contamination.

The zenith angle considerations are needed because the telescopes will not always point at the same angles each night, the airmass difference at different observed zenith angles will change both the telescope trigger rate (due to increased threshold at larger zenith angle) and the brightness temperature in an inversely correlated fashion. A fit to the rates at a range of zenith angles allows the rate at a fixed angle (here zenith) to be calculated and compared to the sky temperature at that fixed angle. The 'ideal' observing conditions at zenith are thus extrapolated from

the observed measurements. Figure 1 shows the all sky brightness temperature plots taken a few nights apart for two different aerosol conditions, the top plot when no aerosol boundary layer is present >1 km in the lidar observations and the bottom plot for when there is one to 3.5 km, a noticeable shift in the sky's infra-red luminosity can be seen.

To facilitate the comparison, the aerosol conditions were determined from the H.E.S.S. lidar runs to split the data into two sets: "clear sky" for when there is no obvious aerosol boundary layer; and "hazy sky".for when the lidar observes a boundary layer (up to 3.5 km under the worst observing conditions). This leads to 19 "clear sky" nights (~ 100 runs) with which to empirically determine the "clear sky" telescope trigger rate and sky temperature at zenith (eg 2) and 28 observing runs under less than ideal conditions. There are fewer "hazy sky" observations from a combination of the site being astronomically very good and because the telescopes tend not to be operated under less than ideal conditions. The correction for the lens temperature and other systematic effects is taken from measurements of the ambient temperature taken by a Campbell Scientific weather station co-located with the scanning radiometer.

In figure 3 the difference the measured sky brightness temperature for the hazy sky runs to that expected from the clear sky runs is plotted against the difference in the measured and expected telescope trigger rates (top plot) and the lidar determined aerosol concentration (bottom plot). The inverse correlation with telescope trigger rate shows that when the sky has a higher brightness temperature there is a change in the threshold of the telescope, as seen by the change in trigger rate (Pearson's correlation coefficient of -0.86); the additional positive correlation with the aerosol concentration gives us a high level of confidence to say the temperature increase is associated with a corresponding increase in the atmospheric aerosol content.

3 Conclusions and Discussion

The correlation between sky brightness temperature and lidar determined aerosol concentration has been shown before [3] on a limited data set. Here the study has been expanded to larger, year-long, dataset and once again a positive correlation of sky brightness temperature and aerosol concentration is seen. This also corresponds to a clear inverse correlation with Cherenkov telescope trigger rates. This shows that a radiometer can be a useful instrument not just as a cloud monitor, but also as a sensitive measure of atmospheric clarity. As the radiometer will be most sensitive to the warmest aerosols it is a useful instrument in the low altitude region where a lidar is not sensitive due to it not having reached full geometric overlap. An infrared radiometer is also a completely passive instrument, allowing it to take fully contemporaneous data with a Cherenkov telescope without affecting observations. It can also take data during the daytime, allowing a forecast of that night's observing conditions to be easily made.

Acknowledgment: We would like to acknowledge the support of the technical staff at Durham and the H.E.S.S. telescopes in the operation of the radiometer and the people at LUPM in the running of the lidar.


χ² / ndf 33.95 / 26
p0 10.17 ± 0.5189
p1 -0.2252 ± 0.02012
T actual, zenith - T clear, zenith (°C)
R actual - R clear (Hz)
T actual, zenith - T clear, zenith (°C)
peak range corrected lidar backscatter signal

Figure 3: The top figure shows the inverse correlation of the telescope trigger rate with the difference of the observed sky brightness temperature to that expected for a clear sky. The bottom figure shows the correlation of the peak in the lidar return signal to the temperature difference.

References

[1] D. J. Buckley et al, 1999, Experimental Astronomy, 9, 237
[2] Dalrymple, G. J. & Unsworth, M. H. 1978, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 104, 989
[3] Daniel, M. K. & Vasileiadis, G. 2012, High Energy Gamma Ray Astronomy AIP Conference Proceedings, 1505, 717
[4] Nolan, S. J., Pühlhofer, G., & Rulten, C. B. 2010, Astroparticle Physics, 34, 304
[5] Vasileiadis, G. these proceedings